

Michael Winn Chairperson

Sue Luft Vice Chairperson Courtney Howard
Secretary

Room 207, County Government Center San Luis Obispo CA 93401 PH (805) 781-1016 FAX (805) 788-2182

#### Members

Steve Sinton District 1

Bill Garfinkel District 2

Marilee Hyman District 3

Michael Winn District 4

Dan O'Grady District 5

Chuck Fellows Arrovo Grande

Russ Thompson Atascadero

Robert Mires

Betty Winholtz Morro Bay

Christopher Alakel Paso Robles

Ted Ehring

Allen Settle San Luis Obispo

Jim Adams Cambria CSD

John D'Ornellas Heritage Ranch CSD

Maria Kelly Los Osos CSD

Bruce Buel Nipomo CSD

Barbara Mann Oceano CSD

John Russell San Simeon CSD

Mike Ellison San Miguel CSD

Jeff Hodge Templeton CSD

Linda Chipping Coastal San Luis RCD

Tom Mora Upper Salinas RCD

Ray Allen Agriculture At-Large

Joy Fitzhugh County Farm Bureau

Sue Luft Environmental At-Large

Eric Greening
Environmental At-Large

John Neil Atascadero MWC

John Kellerman California Men's Colony

John Reid Camp SLO

Edralin Maduli Cuesta College

Mark Zimmer Golden State Water August 27, 2009

Honorable Sarah Christie Chairperson, County Planning Commission Department of Planning and Building 976 Osos Street, Room 300 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Subject: Water Resources Advisory Committee Partial Comments on the

Water Chapter of the Conservation and Open Space Element

Dear Chairperson Christie:

On December 3, 2008, the Water Resources Advisory Committee (WRAC) formed an ad hoc subcommittee to review the Water Resources chapter of the Conservation and Open Space Element (COSE) and develop comments for WRAC consideration. Subcommittee members include Michael Winn (District 4), Ray Allen (Agriculture At-Large), Betty Winholtz (Morro Bay), and Sue Harvey (Environmental At-Large Alternate).

On July 1, 2009, the WRAC reviewed the draft COSE comments developed by the subcommittee. WRAC members' comments and suggestions from the July meeting were incorporated into another revision of the comments that was brought back to the WRAC at a special meeting held August 26, 2009.

At its well-attended special meeting in August, the WRAC was only able to analyze part of the revised COSE comments, and the partial version with the noted changes was unanimously approved. This portion of the approved COSE comments is attached for your consideration.

The WRAC will consider the remainder of the COSE comments at their regular meeting on September 2, 2009. It is the WRAC's intention to bring those approved comments to your Commission prior to your September 10, 2009 meeting.

Respectfully,

MICHAEL WINN

Michael Wim

Chairperson, Water Resources Advisory Committee

Purpose of the Committee:

To advise the County Board of Supervisors concerning all policy decisions relating to the water resources of the SLO County Flood Control & Water Conservation District. To recommend to the Board specific water resource programs. To recommend methods of financing water resource programs.

Excerpts from WRAC By-Laws dated 3/6/07

cc: SLO County Planning Commissioners w/attachments SLO County Board of Supervisors w/attachments James Caruso, SLO County Planning w/attachments

Attachment: Subcommittee Report on the Water Element of the COSE

#### WRAC Subcommittee on the Water Element of the COSE

August 26, 2009

Members: Michael Winn, Ray Allen, Betty Winholtz, Sue Harvey

The following comments considered by the WRAC July 1 were unanimously adopted - as amended - by the WRAC membership on August 26:

Generally we agreed that the new format and principles articulated are good, but the policies need more detail, especially re implementation.

The subcommittee has come to believe that some of our earlier comments (e.g., need for stream gauge data, environmental water metrics, separate reports per each watershed) would be better placed in the Master Water Plan instead of the COSE. Others not repeated here are due to their being more like projects instead of planning policies.

General Comments from Members on July 1, 2009:

Clearly define "imported water", "supplemental water", and "surface water".

Develop an implementation plan in order to clearly understand how strategies would be implemented.

Be cognizant of policies/implementation strategies which would result in "government crippling government", i.e. unfunded mandates (this was mentioned regarding Implementation Strategy WR 3.1.6).

p. 10.1

#### Introduction

2<sup>nd</sup> ¶, 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence: Most areas of the county are experiencing groundwater problems. Most have declining water supply and water quality due in part to a lack of available surface water supplies, periods of inadequate rainfall insufficient and unreliable recharge, and previous development in many places permitted without adequate sustainable water supply.

p. 10.3

[The quotation by Zekster is only partially adequate. What someone should have said is more like:

The safe yield of groundwater is exceeded when long-term groundwater extraction exceeds aquifer recharge, producing declining trends in aquifer storage. Overdraft may be evidenced by declines in surfacewater levels and stream flow, reduction or elimination of vegetation, land subsidence, decline in groundwater quality, and/or seawater intrusion.

## Water Quality [Add a third bullet]

- •
- •
- Self-regenerating water softeners using salts of various types pose a serious threat to the quality of the county's groundwater supplied.

p. 10.5

# Table WR-1 GOALS FOR WATER RESOURCES

Goal WR 2 The County will collaborative manage groundwater resources to

ensure sustainable supplies for all beneficial uses, including

riparian demands.

•••

Goal WR 4 The County will achieve a significant decline in per-capita

potable water use by 2020, correlated with the Levels of

Severity outlined in the County Resource Management System.

## Chapter 10 WATER RESOURCES

[There is a need for contextual history beyond administration and regulation, either here and/or in the Water Resources Appendices. Issues critical to water policy include the county being a long-term semi-arid region, frequency of droughts, cyclical nature of rainfall (with averages), and interrelationship between the long-term trends in rainfall and those in population (both growth rate and total population).]

[A map of the county is needed here, showing the various watersheds, with an overlay showing areas currently experiencing seawater intrusion and/or having a Level of Severity III for water supply.]

Implementation Strategy WR 1.1.1 Implement an Expanded Water Master Plan

 Establish water demand and water efficiency monitoring programs in coordination with the County Planning Department's Resource Management System and the County Public Works' Master Water Plan to monitor municipal, industrial, agricultural, recreational, and environmental demand on an ongoing basis;

#### p. 10.6

## Policy WR 1.3 New Water Supply

Development of new water supplies should focus first on efficient use of our existing resources. Use of reclaimed water, interagency cooperative projects, and groundwater recharge projects should be considered prior to using imported sources of water or seawater desalination.

## ♦ [Retain] Policy WR 1.3

Monitor and explore new technologies that lower the cost of desalination.

Implementation Strategy 1.3.1 Work in concert with the DWR and other regulatory agencies to stay current with approved methods of facilitating desalination projects for the county's coastal communities. (See WR 1.15)

#### Policy WR 1.6 Water-dependent species

[Insert hyphen]

Implementation Strategy WR 1.6.1 Evaluate ecosystem water needs. [spelling error]

## Policy WR 1.7 Agricultural operations

- ♦ Implementation Strategy 1.7.1 Agricultural cluster subdivisions should be limited to legal lots with sufficient water supply on the project property.
- Implementation Strategy 1.7.2 Support no annexations and allow no residential subdivisions or (if the ordinance is retained) ag cluster subdivisions on land zoned AG or zoned RL or RR with a history of farming, unless they are supplied by supplemental water. (I.e., do not permit residential subdivisions outside of URLs or VRLs that draw on groundwater.)

## Policy WR 1.9 Limit and regulate new water systems

## Policy WR 1.11 Reduce RMS alert levels

#### [Add:

Implementation Strategy WR 1.11.2 Planning Area Standards
Adopt planning area standards for all areas with an RMS Level of Severity
for Water I, II. or III. [Use or adapt the standards developed by County
Planning for the Nipomo Mesa Water Conservation Area.]

p. 10.10

## Policy WR 1.12 Impacts of new development

Accurately assess and mitigate the impacts of new development on water supply. (GM1) At a minimum, comply with the provisions of Senate Bills 610 and 211 and Assembly Bills 32 and 49.

## Policy WR 1.13 Density increases in rural areas

Do not approve General Plan amendments or land divisions, or support LAFCO annexations that increase the density or intensity of non-agricultural uses in rural areas that have a recommended or certified Level of Severity II or III for water supply until a Level of Severity I or better is reached.

[Delete the last clause, or this becomes unenforceable and relatively meaningless.]

p. 10.11

Goal Number 2: The County will collaboratively manage groundwater resources to ensure sustainable supplies for all beneficial uses, including riparian demands.

p. 10.12

## Implementation Strategy WR 2.2.3

Secure right of access to all new key wells together with retaining voluntary access to existing wells having useful histories to ensure that the County's investment in these records is protected. The County should obtain permission from each new well owner to obtain groundwater data for use by the County. (GM2)

## Policy WR 2.3 Well permits

#### [New:

Implementation Strategy 2.3.2: Permit no new residential wells serving more than one household where groundwater is LOS II or III, unless it is to replace an existing well that will be legally abandoned.

p. 10.13

# GOAL 3: EXCELLENT WATER QUALITY WILL BE MAINTAINED FOR THE HEALTH OF PEOPLE AND NATURAL COMMUNITIES.

[New: Implementation Strategy WR 3.1.5 Gradual elimination of self-regenerating water softeners that discharge salts and implementation of a tracking program for exchange tank system salts disposal.

Recognize the real impacts of self-regenerating water softeners on the County's ability to effectively treat and use reclaimed water. Amend ordinance to stop permitting new installations and to gradually eliminate existing equipment everywhere in the county, with the exception of the canister exchange type.

[New: Implementation Strategy WR 3.1.6 Tertiary Treatment of Waste Water

The County shall encourage community waste water systems to convert to tertiary treatment.

## Policy WR 3.2 Protect watersheds

Protect watersheds, groundwater and aquifer recharge areas, and natural drainage systems from potential adverse impacts of development projects. (GM1)

- This policy should identify the watersheds, groundwater, aquifer recharge areas, and natural drainage systems, possibly with maps.
- Add language to end of policy "... adverse impacts of development and public infrastructure projects."

p. 10.18

## Policy WR 3.5 Support Resource Conservation Districts

Continue support of and partnerships with Resource Conservation Districts to encourage education and technical assistance regarding erosion and sediment control.